## On a Class of Functionals Whose Local Minima are Global

## GABRIELE BONANNO

Dipartimento di Ingegneria Elettronica e Matematica Applicata, Università di Reggio Calabria, via E. Cuzzocrea 48, 89128 Reggio Calabria, Italy

(Received: 12 December 1996; accepted: 20 June 1997)

**Abstract.** In this note we introduce a suitable class of functionals, including the class of integral functionals, and prove that any (strict) local minimum of a functional of this class, defined on a decomposable space, is a (strict) global minimum. So, the recent result obtained by Giner in [1] is specified and extended.

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): Primary 49K27; Secondary 28A25, 28A20.

Key words: Increasing functional, integral functional, global optimization.

An important topic in optimization theory is to see whether a given functional has the property of its local minima being global (see, for instance, [3] and the references therein).

Recently, in [1], Giner provided a remarkable contribution by proving that integral functionals on decomposable subsets of measurable functions, when endowed with a suitable topology, have the considered property.

The aim of the present note is simply to point out that the above result can be extended to a much broader class of functionals (Theorem 1) and specified when strict local minima are considered (Theorem 2).

Throughout the sequel,  $(T, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite non-atomic measure space  $(\mu(T) > 0)$ , E is a real separable Banach space, and X is a non-empty set of equivalence classes of measurable functions from T into E, two functions being equivalent if, out of a set of measure zero, they are equal. We assume that X is decomposable. This means that if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $u, v \in X$ , then  $1_A u + 1_{T \setminus A} v \in X$ , where  $1_A$  is the characteristic function of A. We will consider X endowed with a given topology  $\tau$  such that if  $\{A_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{F}$ , with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$ , and  $u, v \in X$ , then the sequence  $\{1_{A_n}u + 1_{T \setminus A_n}v\}$   $\tau$ -converges to v. We also denote by M the set of equivalence classes of measurable functions from T into  $\mathbb{R}$  (the equivalence relation being as above). Let us now introduce the classes of functionals we will deal with.

DEFINITION 1. A functional  $J: M \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is said to be increasing if, for every  $\alpha, \beta \in M$  such that  $\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t) \mu$ -a.e. in T, one has  $J(\alpha) \leq J(\beta)$ .

DEFINITION 2. A functional  $J : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be strictly increasing if it is increasing and, for every  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in M$  such that  $\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$   $\mu$ -a.e. in T,  $\mu(\{t \in T : \alpha(t) < \beta(t)\}) > 0$  and  $J(\beta) \in \mathbb{R}$ , one has  $J(\alpha) < J(\beta)$ .

Finally, we fix a function  $f : T \times E \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that, for each  $u \in X$ , the function  $t \longrightarrow f(t, u(t))$  belongs to M. For any  $J : M \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , we define the functional  $J_f$  on X by putting

$$J_f(u) = J(f(\cdot, u(\cdot)))$$

for every  $u \in X$ .

Moreover, we recall that  $u \in X$  is said to be a local minimum [strict local minimum] of  $J_f$  if there is a  $\tau$ -neighborhood U of u such that for every  $v \in U$ ,  $v \neq u$ , one has  $J_f(u) \leq J_f(v)$  [ $J_f(u) < J_f(v)$ ] and that  $u \in X$  is said to be a global minimum [strict global minimum] of  $J_f$  if for every  $v \in X$ ,  $v \neq u$ , one has  $J_f(u) \leq J_f(v)$  [ $J_f(u) < J_f(v)$ ].

The following lemma plays a fundamental role in the proof of our main theorems and it can be proved using classical results of measure theory (see, for instance, [2]). For the reader's convenience, we give an explicit proof here.

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $A \subseteq T$  be a measurable set such that  $\mu(A) > 0$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of measurable subsets of A such that  $\mu(A_n) > 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We can suppose  $\mu(A) < +\infty$ . Since  $\mu$  is a non-atomic measure there is a measurable set  $B \subset A$  such that  $0 < \mu(B) < \mu(A)$ . We denote by  $A_1$  either B or its complement in A so that  $0 < \mu(A_1) < \frac{1}{2}\mu(A)$ . Therefore, applying an iterative procedure, the conclusion is obtained.

Now, we can establish the following

THEOREM 1. Let  $J : M \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a strictly increasing functional. Then, any local minimum u of  $J_f$  in X, such that  $J_f(u) \in \mathbb{R}$  is a global minimum. Moreover, for every  $v \in X$ , one has  $f(t, u(t)) \leq f(t, v(t)) \mu$ -a.e. in T.

*Proof.* Let u be a local minimum of  $J_f$  in X such that  $J_f(u) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Arguing by contradiction, assume that there is  $w \in X$  such that  $J_f(w) < J_f(u)$ . Put

 $A = \{ t \in T : f(t, w(t)) < f(t, u(t)) \}.$ 

Of course  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mu(A) > 0$ , since J is increasing. Taking into account Lemma 1, we can find a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  so that  $A_n \subseteq A$ ,  $\mu(A_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$ . Now, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put

$$u_n = 1_{A_n} w + 1_{T \setminus A_n} u$$

Since X is decomposable,  $u_n \in X$ . Moreover, we have  $f(t, u_n(t)) \leq f(t, u(t))$ for all  $t \in T$  and  $\mu(\{t \in T : f(t, u_n(t)) < f(t, u(t))\}) > 0$ . Consequently, as J is strictly increasing, we have  $J_f(u_n) < J_f(u)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But,  $\{u_n\} \tau$ -converges to u, and so u cannot be a local minimum for  $J_f$ , a contradiction.

Let us now prove the other statement of the theorem. Arguing by contradiction again, assume that there is  $v \in X$  such that  $\mu(\{t \in T : f(t, u(t)) > f(t, v(t))\}) > 0$ . If we put  $V = \{t \in T : f(t, u(t)) > f(t, v(t))\}$  and  $z = 1_V v + 1_{T \setminus V} u$ , we obtain  $f(t, z(t)) \leq f(t, u(t))$  for all  $t \in T$  and  $\mu(\{t \in T : f(t, z(t)) < f(t, u(t))\}) > 0$ . So, J being strictly increasing, one has  $J_f(z) < J_f(u)$  and, since u is a global minimum, this is a contradiction.

Our second result is as follows:

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $J : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing functional. Then, any strict local minimum u of  $J_f$  in X is a strict global minimum. Moreover, for every  $v \in X \setminus \{u\}$ , one has  $f(t, u(t)) \leq f(t, v(t)) \mu$ -a.e. in T and  $\mu(\{t \in T : f(t, u(t)) < f(t, v(t))\}) > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let u be a strict local minimum of  $J_f$  in X. Arguing by contradiction, assume that there is  $w \in X \setminus \{u\}$  such that  $J_f(w) \leq J_f(u)$ .

Put

$$A = \{t \in T : f(t, w(t)) < f(t, u(t))\}.$$

If  $\mu(A) > 0$ , choosing  $\{A_n\}$  and  $\{u_n\}$  exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1, we have  $\tau - \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = u$ ,  $u_n \neq u$  and  $J_f(u_n) \leq J_f(u)$ , against the fact that u is a strict local minimum of  $J_f$ .

If  $\mu(A) = 0$ , then we have  $f(t, w(t)) \ge f(t, u(t)) \mu$ -a.e. in T. Put

 $B = \{t \in T : w(t) \neq u(t)\}.$ 

Clearly,  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mu(B) > 0$ . Choose a sequence  $\{B_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $B_n \subseteq B$ ,  $\mu(B_n) > 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(B_n) = 0$ . Define  $w_n : T \longrightarrow E$  by

$$w_n = \mathbf{1}_{B_n} w + \mathbf{1}_{T \setminus B_n} u.$$

Observe that  $\tau - \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = u$ , and  $w_n \neq u$ ,  $J_f(w_n) \leq J_f(w) \leq J_f(u)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which yields the desired contradiction.

Now, as in Theorem 1 it is possible to prove that for every  $v \in X$ ,  $v \neq u$ one has  $f(t, u(t)) \leq f(t, v(t)) \mu$ -a.e. in T. Therefore, taking into account that  $J_f(u) < J_f(v)$ , one also has  $\mu(\{t \in T : f(t, u(t)) < f(t, v(t))\}) > 0$ .  $\Box$  REMARK 1. The situation considered in [1] is as follows: f is  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(E)$ measurable ( $\mathcal{B}(E)$ ) being the Borel family of E) and J is the functional defined by

$$\int_T^* \alpha(t) d\mu = \inf\{\int_T \beta(t) d\mu : \beta \in L^1(T), \quad \alpha(t) \le \beta(t) \quad \mu - a.e. \text{ in } T\},$$

for every  $\alpha \in M$ . Of course J is strictly increasing. So, Theorems 1 and 2 extend and specify the result of [1].

REMARK 2. The functional defined on M as follows

$$J(\alpha) = \mathop{\mathrm{ess\,sup}}_{t\in T} \alpha(t) \qquad [ \text{ or } \quad J(\alpha) = \mathop{\mathrm{ess\,sup}}_{t\in T} \alpha(t) ]$$

is another easy example of an increasing functional to which Theorem 2 can be applied.

REMARK 3. If J is a simple increasing functional, a local minimum of  $J_f$  in X need not be a global minimum. Let us give an example. To this end, choose: T = [0, 1] with the Lebesgue measure structure;  $X = L^1([0, 1])$  with the usual norm;  $E = \mathbb{R}$  and  $J : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the functional defined as follows:

$$J(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha(t) > 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, 1] \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha(t) < -1 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, let  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined by f(t, z) = z for every  $(t, z) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Clearly, J is an increasing functional, but it is not a strictly increasing functional; and  $J_f(u) = J(u)$  for every  $u \in X$ . Now, we show that the function

$$\overline{u}(t) = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } t \in [0, 1/2] \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in ]1/2, 1] \end{cases}$$

is a local minimum of  $J_f$  in X. To this end, we observe that if  $v \in B(\overline{u}, 1/2) = \{u \in X : || \overline{u} - u ||_1 < 1/2\}$ , then  $|| v ||_1 < 3/4$ ; hence  $J_f(v) \neq -1$  ( $J_f(v) = -1$  implies  $|| v ||_1 > 1$ ). So, taking into account that  $J_f(\overline{u}) = 0$ ,  $\overline{u}$  is a local minimum of  $J_f$  in X, but it is not a global minimum (take, for instance, w(t) = -2 for every  $t \in T$ ).

## References

- Giner, E.: Local minimizers of integral functionals are global minimizers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (3), **123** (1995), 755–757.
- Halmos, P.R.: Measure theory, Springer, New York, 1974.
- Horst, R. and Thach, P. T.: A topological property of limes-arcwise strictly quasiconvex functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 134 (1988), 426–430.